PH in IPF: we will ever have a treatment ?

Sergio Harari U.O. di Pneumologia e UTIR Servizio di Emodinamica e Fisiopatologia Respiratoria Ospedale San Giuseppe - Milano

25th Panhellenic Thoracic Congress Athen 25th June 2016



Disorders of the respiratory system and hypoxemia

PH is generally mild or moderate (PAP < 30 mmHg), is not per se a predominant prognostic factor and not require specific therapeutic intervention (except oxygen therapy)</p>

Medial hypertrophy and mild intimal fibrosis



Frequency
Prognosis
Diagnosis

How frequent is it?

Treatment

The prevalence of PH in patients with ILD varies greatly as a function of the underlying disease and the diagnostic mode used to identify PH

 The most extensive data have been published in IPF

Author	Year	Patients	N	Diagnosis	Definition of PH	Prevalence, %
Leutche et al.	2004	IPF	28	RHC	mPAP>35 mmHg	21.4
Nadrous et al.	2005	IPF	88	Echo	sPAP>35 mmHg sPAP>50 mmHg	84 31
Hamada et al.	2007	IPF	70	RHC	mPAP>25 mmHg	8.1
Zisman et al.	2007	IPF	65	RHC	mPAP>25 mmHg	41.5
Patel et al.	2007	IPF	41	RHC	mPAP>25 mmHg +PCWP	20
Shorr et al.	2007	IPF	2.5	RHC	mPAP>25 mmHg	46.1
Nathan et al.	2008	IPF	118	RHC	mPAP>25 mmHg	40.7
Song et al.	2009	IPF	131	Echo	sPAP>40 mmHg	25
Minai et al.	2009	IPF	148	RHC	mPAP>25mmHg mPAP>40mmHg	45.9 14.2
Kimura et al.	2012	IPF	101	RHC	mPAP > 20 mmHg	34,6

The incidence and prevalence of PH in IPF remain unclear, with widely varying estimates.

The differences reflect:

varying patient populations
 varying underlying disease severity

differing diagnostic modalities

 Frequency
 Prognosis
 Does it affect the prognosis of IPF?
 Diagnosis
 Treatment

88	PASP	PASP	PASP
patients with IPF	0-34 mmHg (n=14)	35-49 mmHg (n=47)	>50 mmHg (n=27)
Median survival	4.8y	4.1y	0.7y
1 year survival	100%	79%	44%
3 year survival	64%	61%	32%

Nadrous et al Chest 2005: 128;616-7



Variables	MAP <u>≤</u> 25 mmHg (n= 10)	MAP > 25 mmHg (n= 24)	P value
MPAP, mmHg	18.2 ± 3.6	29.8 ± 5.1	NA
6MWT distance, m	365.9 ± 81.8	143.5 ± 65.5	< 0.001
SpO2 nadir on 6MWT, %	88.0 ± 3.5	80.1 ± 3.7	< 0.001
Mortality rate, %	37.5	70.0	0.003

Lettieri CJ et al. Chest 2006, 129:746-52

Table 1 Demographic and clinical data of the study population (n = 66)

Parameters	No
Clinical parameters*	
Age (years)	57 (12)
Gender (F:M)	28:38
Smoking (pack years)	27 non-smokers, 31 ex-
	smokers, 7 current smokers,
The free exception (months)	1 unknown
Time from presentation (months)	33 (4-264)
WHU class	3 (1-4)
Working diagnosis (based on multidisciplinany consensus	IPF (n = 16)
including lung biopsy when	Idiopathic NSIP $(n = 6)$
available)	CID-related fibrosis $(n = 17)$
	Sarcoidosis (n = 12)
	(n = 15)
Bionsy diagnosis	n = 13 (20%)
Right heart catheter*	10 (20 %)
mPAP (mm Ho)	33.6 (11.8)
mBAP (mm Ho)	5.9 (4.2)
mLAP (mm Ha)†	10.7 (5.1)
PVB (Wood units)	5.9 (4.3)
PVR index (Wood units/m ²)	10.4 (7.1)
Cardiac output (l/min)	4.3 (1.2)
Cardiac in dex (1/min/m ²)	2.3 (0.5)
Echocardiography	
RVSP (mm Hg, $n = 48$)	56 (24-102)
PAT (ms, n = 46)	100 (33-144)
Pulmonary function	
TLCD % (n = 65)	29.6 (14.7)
Kco % (n = 65)	52.0 (19.7)
TLC % (n = 61)	72.5 (20.2)
FEV ₁ % (n = 62)	62.4 (23.3)
FVC % (n = 62)	67.9 (23.1)
Pao_2 (kPa, n = 61)	8.4 (2.2)
$Paco_2$ (kPa, n = 61)	5.0 (0.9)
CPI (n = 62)	56.9 (14.6)
6MWT (n = 42)	
End Spo ₂ (%)	81.4 (8.4)
6MWT distance (m)	254.6 (128.1)

Pulmonary vascular resistance predicts early mortality in patients with diffuse fibrotic disease and suspected pulmonary hypertension

Corte TJ et al. Thorax 2009; 64: 883

	Death within 12 months	Survival at 12 months	p Value*
mPAP (mm Hg)	39.0 (14.1)	31.7 (10.4)	0.03
PVR (WU)	9.4 (5.8)	4.6 (2.8)	< 0.001
PVR index (WU/m ²)	16.4 (9.7)	8.5 (4.8)	< 0.001
mLAP (mm Hg)	12.2 (6.4)	9.5 (5.0)	0.11
Cardiac output (l/min)	3.8 (1.3)	4.4 (1.1)	0.06
PAT (ms)	69.4 (21.2)	99.5 (28.1)	0.005
Pao _z (kPa)	7.4 (1.4)	8.8 (2.3)	0.03

Pulmonary vascular resistance predicts early mortality in patients with diffuse fibrotic disease and suspected pulmonary hypertension

Corte TJ et al. Thorax 2009; 64: 883



In severe diffuse lung disease, raised PVR strongly predicts death within 1 year independent of disease severity or diagnosis of IPF.

PVR is superior to other measurements at RHC and also to non-invasive tests (alone or in combination). These findings suggest that, in advanced lung disease, prognostic information that is only obtainable by RHC has important management implications The presence of PH in IPF is associated with higher mortality and its development
 contributes to the deterioration of IPF patients

Frequency
Prognosis
Diagnosis
Treatme How can we investigate these patients?

PH in IPF patients is more frequent when the underlying fibrosis is severe (secondary PH)

 However, PH may occur in milder disease, raising the possibility of therapeutic intervention

Thus, screening IPF patients for the early identification of PH is essential

Correlates of PH in IPF

 it appears that PH may not correlate with lung volumes in patients with IPF

 factors aside from progressive fibrosis are responsible for PH in IPF

 pulmonary artery remodeling might play a more relevant role than vasoconstriction, yet the two pathogenetic processes might be intimately interrelated

Recommendation for PH due to lung diseases

Statement	Class#	Levelf
Echocardiography is recommended as a screening tool for the assessment of PH due to lung diseases	I	С
RHC is recommended for a definite diagnosis of PH due to lung diseases	I	С

Once PH is suspected, patients should be evaluated by echocardiography

should be enrolled in RC1s targeting PAH specific drugs		
The use of PAH-specific drug therapy is not recommended in patients with PH due to lung diseases	III	С

class of recommendationsf level of evidence

ERS/ESC Guidelines

Statement	Class#	Levelf
Echocardiography is recommended as a screening tool for the assessment of PH due to lung diseases	I	С
RHC is recommended for a definite diagnosis of PH due to lung diseases	I	С

Given the limitations of echocardiography, RHC remains the standard for the diagnosis of PH

Patients with "out of proportion" PH due to lung diseases should be enrolled in RCTs targeting PAH specific drugs	IIa	С
The use of PAH-specific drug therapy is not recommended in patients with PH due to lung diseases	III	С

ERS/ESC Guidelines

Frequency





Treatment

Therapeutic options for PH in IPF are limited

STEP-IPF - Sildenafil in IPF

 Prospective, randomized, clinical trial: to evaluate effectiveness of sildenafil at improving breathing function, exercise capacity and QoL in patients with advanced IPF

Primary endpoint:

Change in 6-MWD (defined as \geq 20% improvement or \leq 20% improvement)

N Engl J Med 2010;363:620-8

STEP-IPF Results

	Sildenafil	Placebo	<i>P</i> -value
≥ 20% improvement in 6MWD	9/89 (10%)	6/91 (7%)	0.39

- No significant change in 6MWD at 12 or 24 weeks
- No difference in mortality or acute exacerbations after 12 or 24 weeks
- QOL
 - Improvement with treatment on St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (P = 0.01)
 - No improvement on SF-36 or EQ-5D tests
- Dyspnea
 - Improvement with treatment on SOB Questionnaire (P = 0.006)
 - No improvement on Borg Dyspnea Index after walk test
- Gas exchange at 12 weeks
 - Improvement in DL_{CO} (*P* = 0.04)
 - Improvement in arterial oxygen saturation (P = 0.05)
- Serious adverse events were similar in the two study groups.

IPF Clinical Research Network. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 363:620-628.

Sildenafil in IPF with Right-sided Ventricular Dysfunction A substudy of STEP-IPF

- Of 180 subjects enrolled into STEP-IPF, echocardiograms from 119 were available for independent review (sildenafil, n 56; placebo, n 63)
- Right ventricular hypertrophy (RVH), right ventricular systolic dysfunction (RVSD), and right ventricular systolic pressure (RVSP) were assessed.
- Multivariable linear regression models estimated the relationship between RV abnormality, sildenafil treatment, and changes in 6MWD,
- St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ), the EuroQol instrument, and SF-36 Health Survey (SF-36) from enrollment to 12 weeks.
 Chest 2013; 143 (6): 1699-1708

Sildenafil in IPF with Right-sided Ventricular Dysfunction A substudy of STEP-IPF



Patients with any evidence of RVSD treated with sildenafil demonstrated a 99.3 m greater 6MWD as compared with those treated with placebo.

Treatment with sildenafil in subjects with RVSD resulted in a significantly lower SGRQ total score

Riociguat for interstitial lung disease and pulmonary hypertension: a pilot trial Hoeper MM. et al. Eur Respir J 2013;41: 853 - 860

TABLE 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients

Patients n	22
Age years	60.5 (33.0-80.0)
White ethnicity	22 (100.0)
Male sex	14 (63.6)
BMI kg⋅m ⁻²	26 ± 4
WHO functional class	
III	19 (86.4)
IV	3 (13.6)
6-min walk distance m	316 ± 96
Underlying disease	
Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis	13 (59.1)
Non-specific interstitial lung disease	5 (22.7)
Sarcoidosis	3 (13.6)
Systemic sclerosis	1 (4.5)
Pulmonary function	
TLC % pred	67±12
FVC % pred	67±20
FEV1 % pred	67±17
DLCO [#] mmol·min ⁻¹ ·kPa ⁻¹	2.7±1.5
Haemodynamics and blood gases	
Mean pulmonary artery pressure mmHg	40±10
Pulmonary vascular resistance dyn-s ⁻¹ -cm ⁻⁵	656±201
Cardiac output L-min ⁻¹	4.3±1.4
Systolic blood pressure# mmHg	136 ± 16
Heart rate [¶] beats per minute	78±14
SPO2 %	94±3
SvO ₂ ¹ %	62±12
PaCO ₂ mmHg	39±7

Riociguat for interstitial lung disease and pulmonary hypertension: a pilot trial

Hoeper MM. et al. Eur Respir J 2013;41: 853 - 860

Objective: to assess the safety, tolerability and preliminary efficacy of riociguat, in patients with PH-ILD

Design: open-label, uncontrolled pilot trial

Intervention: 22 PH-ILD patients received oral riociguat (1.0–2.5 mg three times daily) for 12 weeks (n=22), followed by an ongoing long-term extension (interim analysis at 12 months) in those eligible (n=15)

Conclusions: Riociguat was well tolerated by most patients and improved cardiac output and PVR, but not mPAP. Further studies are necessary to evaluate the safety and efficacy of riociguat in patients with PH-ILD.

Efficacy and safety of riociguat in patients with symptomatic pulmonary hypertension (PH) associated with idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIP) (RISE-IIP)

Phase 2 clinical study is terminated on May 2016

The DMC recommended the study's immediate termination after observing that patients receiving riociguat were at a possibly increased risk of death and other serious adverse events as compared to patients receiving placebo

Treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with ambrisentan A parallel, randomized trial

Raghu G. et al. Ann Inter Med 2013;158: 641 - 649

Objective: To determine whether ambrisentan, an ETA receptor– selective antagonist, reduces the rate of IPF progression

Design: Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, event driven trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00768300)

Participants: Patients with IPF aged 40 to 80 years with minimal or no honeycombing on HRCT

Intervention: Ambrisentan, 10 mg/d, or placebo

Measurements: Time to disease progression, defined as death, respiratory hospitalization, or a categorical decrease in lung function.

Conclusion: Ambrisentan was not effective in treating IPF and may be associated with an increased risk for disease progression and respiratory hospitalizations

PH in chronic respiratory diseases Management

Long-term oxygen therapy

- Stabilisation or mild improvement of hemodynamics
- Lung transplantation

Drug therapy

- No proven benefit of PAH-specific drugs (not recommended)
- IPF regardless of PH : no benefit (bosentan, macitentan), deleterious (ambrisentan), unclear benefit (sildenafil - riociguat)
- Possible improvement of hemodynamics with <u>unclear clinical</u> <u>benefit</u> and risk of deterioration of gas exchange

Pulmonary rare diseases Ospedale San Giuseppe Experience (2001- 2012) Tot. 996 patients



RHC and 6MWD in IPF

Variables	MAP ≤ 25 mmHg (n= 17)	MAP > 25 mmHg (n= 13)	MAP > 35 mmHg (n= 4)	P value
MPAP, mmHg	19.4 ± 3.6	32.4 ± 6	40,5 ± 2,6	NA
6MWT distance, m	222.0 ± 118.5	222.3 ± 118.5	203.7 ± 128.3	>0.1
FVC, %	51.6 ± 13.8*	63.8 <u>+</u> 16*	56.0 ± 6.7	<0.05
FEV1, %	58.3 ± 16.3	65.8 ± 18.8	55.2 ± 3.7	>0.05
DLCO, %	31.4 ± 9.6	24.2 ± 13.0	29.0 ± 7.4	>0.05
CI, l/min/m2	3.4 ± 0.55*	2.9 ± 0.7*	2.8 ± 0.6	<0.05
PVR, wood units	3.5 ± 1.1*	6.9 ± 1.4*	10.3 ± 2.0	<0.05

Harari S. et coll. Sarcoidosis 2015

Our data suggest that meters walked during 6MWT are not statistically different in IPF patients with or without PH. 6MWD should not be used as surrogate end point in clinical study in IPF-PH pts.





Kimura M et al. Respiration 2012

Lettieri CJ et al. Chest 2006, 129:746-52

Haemodynamic classification of pulmonary hypertension due to lung diseases

Terminology	Haemodynamics (right heart catheterization)
COPD/IPF/CPFE without PH	PAPm <25 mmHg
COPD/IPF/CPFE with PH	PAPm ≥25 mmHg
COPD/IPF/CPFE with severe PH	PAPm >35 mmHg, or PAPm ≥25 mmHg in the presence of a low cardiac output (Cl <2.5 L/min, not explained by other causes)

CI = cardiac index; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPFE = combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema; IPF = idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; PAP = pulmonary artery pressure; PAPm = mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PH = pulmonary hypertension.

Out-of-proportion pulmonary hypertension A paradigm for rare diseases

..we can highlight some of the limitations of this study design, which have also been observed in other studies

First, patients who were deemed eligible for enrollment included not only those with a PAP> 35 mm Hg, but also subjects with a mean PAP >25 mm Hg

Second, the 6-MWD, which is a non validated and probably misleading test, was chosen as the primary end point

This test has not yet been validated as a useful screen for PH in IPF, and its prognostic significance is still unknown

Harari S. Chest, 2012; 145: 1087



Combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (CPFE)

Definition: Presence on HRCT of the chest of both:

- emphysema of the upper lobes (areas of abnormally low attenuation with a very thin wall [< 1 cm] or no wall),
- opacities suggestive of fibrosis of the lung bases (reticular opacities, basal and subpleural predominance, traction bronchiectasis, possibly honeycombing, with no or little ground glass opacities or consolidation).

PH in CPFE

PH is frequent in patients with the CPFE syndrome, with 47% of patients with estimated systolic right ventricular pressure ≥45 mmHg at echocardiography.

The risk of developing pulmonary hypertension is much higher in CPFE than in IPF without emphysema

The prognosis of CPFE is worse than that of IPF without emphysema, an outcome determined by severe pulmonary hypertension and not only by the presence of associated emphysema

PH in patients with CPFE

A retrospective multicentre study was conducted in 40 patients (38 males; age 68 ± 9 yrs; 39 smokers) Dyspnoea was functional class II in 15%, III in 55%

- and IV in 30%. 6-min walk distance was 244 ± 126 m. FVC was 86 ± 18%, FEV1 78 ± 19%, and DLCO 28 ± 16% of predicted.
- PaO2 on room air was 56 ± 12 mmHg).
- Mean pulmonary artery pressure was 40 ± 9 mmHg, cardiac index 2.5 \pm 0.7 and pulmonary vascular resistance 521 \pm 205.

PH in patients with CPFE

Although the efficacy of drugs specifically indicated in pulmonary arterial hypertension has not been demonstrated in patients with pulmonary parenchymal disorders and associated out-of-proportion pulmonary hypertension, a large number of patients from were treated off-label on an individual basis, thereby providing some preliminary information on the efficacy and safety of pulmonary hypertension therapy in this condition. No significant effect of treatment was found on survival.

Cottin V et al Eur Respir J 2010; 35; 105



Combined pulmonary fibrosis with emphysema

Males
Smokers
Severe PAH
Worse survival than IPF

Conclusions

 Currently there is no specific therapy for PH associated with lung diseases.

 Published experience with targeted PAH drug therapy is scarce, and so far there is no evidence from RCTs suggesting that PAH drugs result in improved symptoms or outcomes in patients with lung disease.

 The use of drugs approved for PAH is not recommended for patients with PH due to lung disease.
 ESC/ERS 2015 Guidelines on PH

Future direction for Treatment of IPF + PH

 Possible use of dugs of group I PAH (i.e. new ones, not already tested)

- Association of antifibrotic drugs with PAH medications
- Evaluate the real effect on survival and QOL not only 6 MWT and/or RHC
- Treat only severe PH ?