UIP Possibile e Probabile

Sergio Harari U.O. di Pneumologia e UTIR Servizio di Emodinamica e Fisiopatologia Respiratoria Ospedale San Giuseppe - Milano

Respiratory Workshop

Respiratory Workshop

L'iniziativa è stata realizzata grazie al supporto incondizionato di





Programma Scientifico

Bologna, 17/18 Giugno 2014

врсо

Current definition of IPF

IPF is a distinct type of chronic fibrosing interstitial pneumonia
Unknown cause
Limited to the lungs
Has typical HRCT findings
Associated with a histologic pattern of usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP)

ATS/ERS Consensus Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;165:277-304 ATS/ERS Consensus Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;161:646-664

Importance of early diagnosis of IPF

- Begin evaluation for lung transplant earlier
- Allows for earlier referral and enrollment in clinical trials (which are generally limited to patients with mild to moderate disease)
- Emerging evidence regarding response to therapy
- Exclude other more treatable diseases

Approach to the diagnosis of IPF



Multidimensional and multidisciplinary

The gold-standard of IIP diagnosis

Diagnosis is multidisciplinary

Modified from: Flaherty et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004; 170:904



Requires pulmonologists, radiologists and pathologists working together

Don't stop with "pulmonary fibrosis"

Reason for a specific diagnosis:

- many forms are treatable
- treatments depend on diagnosis
- prognosis varies
- clinical trial eligibility requirements

In idiopathic interstitial pneumonia, diagnosis is prognosis

Systematic approach to CT

Evaluation of image quality
 Precise description of specific disease features using standard terminology
 Disease distribution
 Is it a fibrotic ILD or non-fibrotic ILD?

- If so, is it definite UIP?
- If no, is possible or inconsistent?
- what are the alternatives (e.g. fibrotic sarcoid, CPFE etc.)?



features of fibrosis,

Intra-lobular and interlobular septal thickening, walled cysts representing honeycombing, may be associated traction bronchiectasis





<u>UIP pattern (all four):</u>

Sub-pleural, basal predominance

- Reticular abnormality
- <u>Honeycombing</u> with or without traction bronchiectasis

Absence of features listen as inconsistent with UIP



Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 788-824



An early and accurate diagnosis of IPF is critical, particularly with the advent of novel specific treatments that may have the potential to reduce disease progression Neglected evidence in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: from history to earlier diagnosis Cordier JF, Cottin V Eur Respir J 2013; 42: 916

IPF is a relatively recent disease linked to the tobacco epidemics
IPF is a disease of ageing
Earlier diagnosis of IPF could be obtained by recognizing the value of velcro crackles and

by promoting the screening for IPF as a byproduct of low-dose CT screening for lung cancer Interstitial lung abnormalities in a CT lung cancer screening population: prevalence and progression rate Lynch D et al. Radiology 2013; 268: 563

In a population of current and former smokers with at least 30 p/y, 55-74 years of age fibrotic interstitial lung disease was present at systematic CT in $\sim 2\%$ of patients, 37% of whom had progressive fibrotic disease on 2-year follow-up CT Low dose CT scan appropriately detect subclinical fibrotic ILD likely corresponding to IPF at an early stage

Radiological Diagnosis Inconclusive

Subpleural reticular opacities





how subpleural reticulation. resent early UIP/IPF or fibrotic NSIP. led for their differentiation.

Use of prone Imaging



UIP: progression of fibrosis on CT

Early:

Reticular





Midcourse: Subpleural honeycombing



Late:

Diffuse honeycombing





Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 788-824

<u>Possible UIP pattern</u> (all three): Subpleural, basal predominance

Reticular abnormality Absence of features listen as inconsistent

Fell CD et al, AJRCCM 2010



Male gender Current or former smoker Older age (>70 yrs) Low-inspiratory squeacks Neutrophils on BAL

Very high likelihood of IPF (PPV 95%) Female gender Younger age Non smoker

Mid-inspiratory squeaks Positive serologies Lymphocytosis on BAL Skin findings

More likely idiopathic or secondary NSIP

The problems is

"Possible UIP" is the major current diagnostic problem in chronic fibrotic ILD:
What's the treatment?
What's the prognosis?
What's the role of BAL evaluation?

If the distinction between IPF and alternative diagnoses remains in doubt after full evaluation, a period of treatment as for HP or NSIP is also a diagnostic test

Radiological differential diagnosis in 'IPF'

An HRCT that predominantly shows bi-basal honeycombing is virtually 100% specific for UIP

 The HRCT pattern of UIP found in IPF can be indistinguishable from that seen in asbestosis, collagen vascular disease or as a response to drugs

Patients with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis or with end-stage sarcoidosis can uncommonly develop a CT pattern similar to UIP



Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 788-824





<u>Inconsistent with UIP pattern</u> (any of the seven features):

- Upper or mid-lung predominance
- Peribronchovascular predominance
- Extensive ground glass abnormality (extent > reticular abnormality)
 Profuse micronodules (bilateral, predominantly upper lobes)
- Discrete cysts (multiple, bilateral, away from areas of honeycombing)
 Diffuse mosaic attenuation/air
 - trapping (bilateral in three or more lobes)
- Consolidation in bronchopulmonary segment(s), lobe(s)



 Typical HRCT features of IPF in association with a compatible clinical profile obviate surgical biopsy

BUT

Atypical features on HRCT for IPF do NOT exclude the diagnosis

Computed Tomography Findings in Pathological Usual Interstitial Pneumonia Relationship to Survival

Sumikawa A et al. Am J Respir Crit Care 2008; 177: 433

One of the most striking findings of this study is the variable HRCT appearance of UIP despite very rigid histo-pathologic criteria

Interestingly, only approximately one-third of HRCTs showed definite IPF and approximately one-third suggested an alternative diagnosis, such as NSIP, or were unclassifiable!

Spectrum of atypical radiologic appearances of biopsy proven UIP

Most common radiologic diagnoses in 34 patients with biopsy proven UIP whose CT does not meet radiologic criteria for definite UIP (i.e. basal, subpleural honeycombing).....

•	NSIP	18
•	CHP	4
•	Sarcoidosis	3
•	OP	1
•	Other	8

Sverzellati N et al, Radiology 2010







UIP pattern (All four features)	Possible UIP pattern (All three features)	Inconsistent with UIP pattern (Any of the seven Features)
 Subpleural , basal predominance Reticular abnormality Honeycombing with or without traction bronchiectasis Absence of features listed as inconsistent with UIP pattern 	 Subpleural , basal predominance Reticular abnormality Absence of features listed as inconsistent with UIP pattern 	 Upper or mid-lung predominance Peribronchovascular predominance Extensive ground glass abnormality (extent > reticular abnormality) Profuse micronodules (bilateral, predominantly upper lobes) Discrete cysts (multiple, bilateral, away from areas of honeycombing) Diffuse mosaic attenuation/air- trapping (bilateral in three or more lobes) Consolidation in bronchopulmonary segment(s), lobe(s)
UIP	UIP or fibrotic NSIP	NSIP or chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis

✓ Do not downstage the «possible UIP» pattern

✓ Follow-up changes may be important, particularly when baseline CT is not diagnostic and surgical lung biopsy is not feasible

IPF: variazioni nel tempo all'HRCT

Reticoli



1.5 anni dopo



3 anni dopo





2 anni dopo

IPF: variazioni nel tempo all'HRCT

Honeycombing





4 anni dopo

IPF: variazioni nel tempo all'HRCT

Ground glass che migliora o sostituito dalle reticolazioni





10 mesi dopo

NSIP and UIP: changes in pattern and distribution of disease over time

CT Finding	NSIP (n= 23)	IPF (n= 25)	P value				
Reticulation	21 (91)	24 (96)	NS				
GGO	23 (100)	24 (96)	NS				
This study shows that a 3 years or longer follow-up,							
28% of pts with initial CT findings suggestive of							
NSIP progress to findings suggestive of UIP							
	(۲۲ (۲۲)	۲۵ (۲۲)	CVI				
Relative subpleural sparing	10 (43)	2 (8)	<.005				
Lower zone predominance of abnormalities	19 (83)	22 (88)	NS				
There are no CT features at presentation that allow distinction between pts with NSIP that maintain an NSIP pattern from those that progress to an IPF pattern at follow-up							
Random	13 (57)	3 (12)	<.005				



- Morbidity increases with age
 - Co-morbidity a major constraint
- In many patients, disease severity does not allow biopsy
- In severe disease, a biopsy sometimes less useful

Only in ~15-25% of patients with suspected IPF is possible to perform a surgical lung biopsy

Early mortality was associated solely with the severity of lung function impairment at presentation, but mortality after 2 years of follow-up was primarily linked to the histopathologic diagnosis



Risk increases as gas transfer falls below 30-35%

Prognostic value diminishes as gas transfer falls below 30-35%



Time (months)

Latsi PI et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003; 168: 531

Radiologists' Observer Variation HRCT diagnosis of diffuse parenchimal lung disease: inter- observer variation Aziz et al. Thorax 2004;59:506			Inter-observer variation between pathologists in diffuse parenchymal lung disease Nicholson et al. Thorax 2004; 59:500-505		
0 - 0.2 sligth 0.2 - 0.4 fair 0.4 - 0.6 moderate 0.6 - 0.8 substantial			Kappa coet	fficients (k)	
Diagnostic category	Median (range) kw coefficient of agreement		Diagnosis	Final diagnosis	
Blaghoshe caregory			UIP	0.49	
Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis	0.63 (0.48 - 0.78)		NSIP	0.32	
Non-specific interstitial pneumonia	0.51 (0.27 - 0.78)		DIP	0.71	
Sarcoidosis	0.70 (0.58 - 0.84)		OP	0.67	
Extrinsic allergic alveolitis	0.60 (0.36 - 0.78)		Sarcoidosis	0.82	
Cryptogenic organizing pneumonia	0.49 (0.06 -0.76)		Intra-observer agre	ement varies from	
Smoking related interstitial lung disease	0.51 (0.20 - 0.73)		a kappa of 0.39 to 0.90 depending on the disease		

Vhat to expect from the pathologis?

On a transbronchial biopsy?

~35% Dx rate in chronic diffuse disease

On a surgical lung biopsy?

~90-95% diagnosis in diffuse disease

On agreeing with his colleagues?

Kappas from 0.4 - 0.8

On agreeing with himself?

Kappas from 0.4 - 0.9
TABLE 5. HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CRITERIA FOR UIP PATTERN

UIP Pattern (All Four Criteria)	Probable UIP Pattern	Possible UIP Pattern (All Three Criteria)	Not UIP Pattern (Any of the Six Criteria)
 Evidence of marked fibrosis/ architectural distortion, ± honeycombing in a predominantly subpleural/ paraseptal distribution Presence of patchy involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis Presence of fibroblast foci Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (see fourth column) 	 Evidence of marked fibrosis / architectural distortion, ± honeycombing Absence of either patchy involvement or fibroblastic foci, but not both Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (<i>see</i> fourth column) OR Honeycomb changes only[‡] 	 Patchy or diffuse involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis, with or without interstitial inflammation Absence of other criteria for UIP (<i>see</i> UIP PATTERN column) Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (<i>see</i> fourth column) 	 Hyaline membranes* Organizing pneumonia*[†] Granulomas[†] Marked interstitial inflammatory cell infiltrate away from honeycombing Predominant airway centered changes Other features suggestive of an alternate diagnosis

Qual è il ruolo della TBB nella diagnosi di UIP?



TABLE 5. HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CRITERIA FOR UIP PATTERN

an alternate diagnosis

(see fourth column)

UIP Pattern (All Four Criteria)	Probable UIP Pattern	Possible UIP Pattern (All Three Criteria)	Not UIP Pattern (Any of the Six Criteria)
 Evidence of marked fibrosis/ architectural distortion, ± honeycombing in a predominantly subpleural/ paraseptal distribution Presence of patchy involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis Presence of fibroblast foci Absence of features 	 Evidence of marked fibrosis / architectural distortion, ± honeycombing Absence of either patchy involvement or fibroblastic foci, but not both Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (<i>see</i> fourth column) 	 Patchy or diffuse involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis, with or without interstitial inflammation Absence of other criteria for UIP (see UIP PATTERN column) Absence of features against a diagnosis 	 Hyaline membranes* Organizing pneumonia*[†] Granulomas[†] Marked interstitial inflammatory cell infiltrate away from honeycombing Predominant airway centered changes Other features
against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting	OR	of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis	suggestive of an alternate diagnosis

(see fourth column)

• Honeycomb changes only[‡]

NSIP fibrosante

UIP

Fibrosi uniforme

• Architettura preservata

No/pochi focolai fibroblastici

Fibrosi "patchy"

Architettura alterata

Presenza di focolai fibroblastici

NSIP fibrosante (forse!)



It is easy to be overcritical of the observer disagreement between histopathologists: in reality, histopathologic appearances may be intermediate between two entities in a significant proportion of cases, and observer variation may be an appropriate and accurate reflection of this fact

Wells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;170:828-829

TABLE 5. HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CRITERIA FOR UIP PATTERN

UIP Pattern (All Four Criteria)	Probable UIP Pattern	(All Three Criteria)
 Evidence of marked fibrosis/ architectural distortion, ± honeycombing in a predominantly subpleural/ paraseptal distribution 	 Evidence of marked fibrosis / architectural distortion, ± honeycombing Absence of either patchy involvement or fibroblastic 	 Patchy or diffuse involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis, with or with interstitial inflamma
 Presence of patchy involvement of lung parenchyma by fibrosis 	 foci, but not both Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting 	 Absence of other cr for UIP (see UIP PATTERN column)
 Presence of fibroblast foci 	an alternate diagnosis	Absence of features

 Absence of features against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (see fourth column)

- (see fourth column)
 - OR
 - Honeycomb changes only[‡]

fuse of lung by or without lammation

Possible UIP Pattern

- ther criteria ЛЬ nn)
- eatures against a diagnosis of UIP suggesting an alternate diagnosis (see fourth column)

- Not UIP Pattern (Any of the Six Criteria)
- Hyaline membranes*
- Organizing pneumonia*[†]
- Granulomas[†]
- Marked interstitial inflammatory cell infiltrate away from honeycombing
- Predominant airway centered changes
- Other features suggestive of an alternate diagnosis



Combination Of HRCT and surgical lung biopsy for the diagnosis of IPF (requires multidisciplinary discussion)

HRCT pattern	Surgical lung bi	opsy pattern (when pe	rformed) Diagnosis of IPF
UIP	UIP Probable UIP Possible UIP Non classifiable U	JIP	YES
Possible UIP	Not UIP		NO
	UIP		VEC
 "Not something for routine pathological reports This scheme is not really workable except in the setting of selecting patients for clinical trials" T.V. Colby, comunicazione personale (Trento 2012, Roma 2013) e Update for pathologists on idiopathic interstitial pneumonias Larsen, Colby. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2012;136:1234-1241 			

A CT approach to "chronic fibrosing lung disease"



Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis in patients diagnosed with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a prospective case-cohort study Morell et al. Lancet Respir Med 2013; 1: 684

20 of the 46 (43%, 95% CI 29-58) patients with IPF according to 2011 guidelines had a subsequent diagnosis of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis

Almost half of patients diagnosed with IPF on the basis of 2011 criteria were subsequently diagnosed with chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and most of these cases were attributed to exposure of occult avian antigens from commonly used feather bedding.

ASCEND Study Design Eligibility

- <u>Age: 40–80 years</u>
- <u>HRCT</u>: Confident diagnosis of IPF

 Definite UIP, or
 Possible UIP, with confirmation on SLB
- <u>FVC</u>: ≥50% and ≤90% percent of predicted
- \underline{DL}_{CO} : \geq 30% and \leq 90% percent of predicted
- <u>FEV₁/FVC ratio</u>: ≥0.80
- <u>Centralized review</u>: spirometry, HRCT, SLB, deaths

King TE et al. *N Engl J Med* 2014 May 18. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402582

This article was published on May 18, 2014, at NEJM.org.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Efficacy and Safety of Nintedanib in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis

- Age ≥40 years
- Diagnosis of IPF within 5 years of randomization
- Chest HRCT performed within 12
 months of screening
- HRCT pattern, and, if available, surgical lung biopsy pattern, consistent with diagnosis of IPF, as assessed centrally by one expert radiologist and one expert pathologist
- FVC ≥50% of predicted value
- DL_{CO} 30–79% of predicted value

Primary endpoint

 Annual rate of decline in FVC (mL/year)

Key secondary endpoints

- Time to first acute exacerbation (investigator-reported) over 52 weeks
- Change from baseline in St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total score over 52 weeks

Ascend study and HRCT

In the Ascend study 1007 out of 1562 patients assessed for eligibility by expert centres were excluded, with 445 not meeting the diagnostic criteria after central review.



- The early recognition of IPF starts with a high level of clinical suspicion
- The approach to the diagnosis of IPF requires a multi-disciplinary effort (pulmonologist, radiologist, and pathologist)
- Differentiating IPF from other ILDs can direct the management and predict the prognosis of these patients



IPF can be diagnosed on HRCT in the majority of cases but a crucial sub-group have very atypical HRCT appearances

Perform an accurate diagnosis of ILD and IPF is very difficult and complex!